

Table 7.9
INFORMATION RESOURCE MANAGEMENT: STATE COMMISSIONS,
CENTRAL ORGANIZATIONS AND BUDGETS

State	State commissions		Central IRM organization (b)	IRM budget as a percentage of total state budget
	IRM commission (a)	Authority to approve:		
Alabama	★	2-3%
Alaska*	★	A,B,C,D	N.A.	N.A.
Arizona	...	D	★	3%
Arkansas*	★	N.A.
California	3%
Colorado*	★	A,B,C,D	N.A.	N.A.
Connecticut	★	1.70%
Delaware	★	B	★	(c)
Florida	★	...	★	5%
Georgia	★	B	★	2.70%
Hawaii	★	(d)
Idaho	★	A,B,C,D	★(e)	(f)
Illinois	★	A,B,C,D	★	3.50%
Indiana	★	A,B,C,D	★	.009%(g)
Iowa	★	...	★	Approx. .8%
Kansas	★(h)	A,B,C	★	(i)
Kentucky	★(j)	<1%
Louisiana	★(k)	1.76%
Maine	★	B,C	★(l)	1%
Maryland	★	...	★	13%
Massachusetts	★	N.A.
Michigan	★	1.20%
Minnesota	★	Estimate 15-20%
Mississippi	★	A,B,C,D(m)	★	5%
Missouri	3%
Montana*	★	B,C (w)	★	N.A.
Nebraska	★	A,B,C	★	0%
Nevada	★(n)	A,B,C,D	★	N.A.
New Hampshire	N.A.
New Jersey	★	...	★	Approx. 3%
New Mexico	★	A,B,C,D	★	10%
New York	★	A,B,C (o)	★	N.A.
North Carolina	★	A,B	★	(p)
North Dakota	★	2.50%
Ohio	★	...	★	6%
Oklahoma	★	0.03%
Oregon*	★	A,B,C	★	N.A.
Pennsylvania	★	Approx.5% (q)
Rhode Island	★	A,B,C	★	Approx. 3%
South Carolina	★	B,C	★(r)	N.A.
South Dakota	★	2.10%
Tennessee	★(s)	A,B,C,D	★	1.75%
Texas	★	B,C	★	2.80%
Utah	★	B,C	★	Approx. 2.5%
Vermont	★	B,C	...	(t)
Virginia	★	(u)	★	Approx. 2%
Washington	★	A,B,C,D	★	N.A.
West Virginia	★	A,B,D	★	2%
Wisconsin	★(v)	N.A.
Wyoming	★	B,D	...	N.A.

Source: National Association of State Information Resource Executives, January 2000, except as noted by * where data are from *The Book of the States, 1998-99*

Key:

- ★ — Organization exists in the state
- ... — Organization does not exist in the state
- A — State IRM plans
- B — State IRM policies
- C — State IRM standards
- D — State-level IRM acquisitions
- N.A. — Not available

(a) Formal board, commission, committee or authority established for the purpose of directing or managing the planning and implementation of information processing resources, policies, standards and services within the state.

(b) A department or agency with state-level authority over information management; usually sets policy and standards; possibly subject to approval of an IRM commission; and may have influence over day-to-day IRM operations.

(c) For fiscal year 1999 OIS' budget represented 1% of the total state budget; statewide, IRM expenditures(exclusive of personnel costs)were approx. 7.5% of total. With staff costs, guesstimate that amount to double.

(d) Percentage of total budget not available .3% for IRM organization.

(e) IT is not centrally managed. The Department of Administration handles central issues; i.e: WAN administration and services. Each agency is responsible for its IT organization and operation.

(f) Department of Administration's IT budget is approx. 2% of the state's overall expenditures for IT. Administration's IT budget is approx. 0.28% of the state's overall state budget.

(g) The DPOC budget is \$663,203 out of a total \$7,251,318,370 General

INFORMATION/RECORDS MANAGEMENT

**INFORMATION RESOURCE MANAGEMENT: STATE COMMISSIONS,
CENTRAL ORGANIZATIONS AND BUDGETS - continued**

Fund Appropriations.

- (h) For planning and IT architecture.
- (i) Base budget = 1.9% for IT. New initiatives average about 1.5% additional per year.
- (j) Governor's Office for Technology (Note that IRM terminology is no longer used.)
- (k) This office is in the formative stages. The CIO shall manage and direct the Department of Information Technology established within the Executive Dept., Office of the Governor, Div. of Admin. The authority of the CIO is restricted to the Executive Branch of government.
- (l) There is a central agency. It controls the operations and services that it provides to other state agencies. It does not have a general control function over other state agencies.
- (m) Over \$250,000.
- (n) Advisory.
- (o) The Commission provides guidance and support for state IRM plans and review and comment for state IRM policies and standards.
- (p) The total including agency IT expenditures for fiscal year 1997-98 was 1.69%.
- (q) The central IT budget as compared to the overall budget.
- (r) Shared responsibility between Office of Information Resources (operations) and Office of Research & Statistical Services(IT planning).
- (s) The Council looks at policy and major direction issues. OIR and the CIO are staff and carry out the decisions.
- (t) There is no IRM budget.
- (u) Council on Technology Services is advisory to the CIO on plans, policies & standards.
- (v) The Division of Technology Management drafts the state IT plan, working in concert with the Division of Info-Tech Services and state agencies. The Division is also responsible for the preparation of state IT standards and policies. Final decisions rest with the Secretary of the Department of Administration.
- (w) Montana does not currently have an IRM: however, Information Technology Advisory Council does develop and approve a statewide strategic plan and information technology plan.