



# Congressional Redistricting Decisions, 2011

State	Jurisdiction	Process	Political makeup of those with jurisdiction	Who is now in the Congressional delegation	Anticipated number of Congressional districts (net gain from 2000) Census <sup>1</sup>
Alabama .....	State Legislature	Plan developed by state legislature, subject to gubernatorial veto.	L G	6 11	7 (+0)
Alaska.....	State Legislature	Plan developed by state legislature, subject to gubernatorial veto.	H S G	11	1 (+0)
Arizona .....	Arizona Redistricting Commission	Arizona Redistricting Commission redraws the map, needs no further authorization.	BC	5 3	9 (+1)
Arkansas .....	State Legislature	Plan developed by state legislature, subject to gubernatorial veto.	L G	3 11	4 (+0)
California.....	State Legislature	Plan developed by state legislature, subject to gubernatorial veto.	L G	21 32	53 (+0)
Colorado .....	State Legislature	Plan developed by state legislature, subject to gubernatorial veto.	H S G	4 3	7 (+0)
Connecticut .....	State Legislature	Plan developed by state legislature, subject to gubernatorial veto.	L G	5	5 (+0)
Delaware .....	State Legislature	Plan developed by state legislature, subject to gubernatorial veto.	L G	1	1 (+0)
Florida .....	State Legislature	Plan developed by state legislature, subject to gubernatorial veto.	L G	19 6	27 (+2)
Georgia.....	State Legislature	Plan developed by state legislature, subject to gubernatorial veto.	L G	8 5	14 (+1)
Hawaii .....	Legislature Appointed Commission	Map drafted by legislature appointed commission then submitted to state legislature to vote.	BC L	2	2 (+0)
Idaho.....	Bipartisan Legislature Appointed Commission	Map drafted by legislature appointed commission then agreed upon by two-thirds vote of the commission within 90 days.	BC	2	2 (+0)
Illinois .....	State Legislature	Plan developed by state legislature, subject to gubernatorial veto.	L G	11 8	18 (-1)
Indiana.....	State Legislature	Plan developed by state legislature, subject to gubernatorial veto.	L G	6 3	9 (+0)
Iowa .....	Legislative Services Agency	Legislative Services Agency draws districts, then voted by state legislature, must be signed by governor.	BC	2 3	4 (-1)
Kansas .....	State Legislature	Plan developed by state legislature, subject to gubernatorial veto.	L G	4	4 (+0)
Kentucky.....	State Legislature	Plan developed by state legislature, subject to gubernatorial veto.	H S G	4 2	6 (+0)
Louisiana .....	State Legislature	Plan developed by state legislature, subject to gubernatorial veto.	L G	6 11	6 (-1)



## Congressional Redistricting Decisions, 2011 (cont.)

State	Jurisdiction	Process	Political makeup of those with jurisdiction	Who is now in the Congressional delegation	Anticipated number of Congressional districts (net gain from 2000) Census <sup>1</sup>
Maine .....	Advisory Apportionment Commission	Plan created by advisory apportionment commission, must be approved by state legislature within 30 days or else sent to state Supreme Court.	BC L	2	2 (+0)
Maryland .....	State Legislature	Plan developed by state legislature, subject to gubernatorial veto.	L G	2 6	8 (+0)
Massachusetts .....	State Legislature	Plan developed by state legislature, subject to gubernatorial veto.	L G	10	9 (-1)
Michigan.....	State Legislature	Plan developed by state legislature, subject to gubernatorial veto.	L G	9 6	14 (-1)
Minnesota .....	State Legislature	Plan developed by state legislature, subject to gubernatorial veto.	L G	4 4	8 (+0)
Mississippi .....	State Legislature	Plan developed by state legislature, subject to gubernatorial veto.	L G	3 11	4 (+0)
Missouri.....	State Legislature	Plan developed by state legislature, subject to gubernatorial veto.	L G	6 3	8 (-1)
Montana.....	Districting and Apportionment Commission	Five-member bipartisan commission creates plan, must be approved within 90 days by state legislature.	BC L	1	1 (+0)
Nebraska .....	State Legislature	Plan developed by state legislature, subject to gubernatorial veto.	L G	13	3 (+0)
Nevada .....	State Legislature	Plan developed by state legislature, subject to gubernatorial veto.	L G	2 11	4 (+1)
New Hampshire .....	State Legislature	Plan developed by state legislature, subject to gubernatorial veto.	L G	2	2 (+0)
New Jersey.....	New Jersey Redistricting Commission	13-member bipartisan commission creates plan; must be finished by third Tuesday of the second year of the redistricting cycle, if not, top two plans sent to state Supreme Court to decide.	BC	6 7	12 (-1)
New Mexico .....	State Legislature	Plan developed by state legislature, subject to gubernatorial veto.	L G	11 2	3 (+0)
New York.....	State Legislature	Plan developed by state legislature, subject to gubernatorial veto.	S H G	7 22	27 (-2)
North Carolina .....	State Legislature	Plan developed by state legislature, subject to gubernatorial veto.	L G	6 7	13 (+0)
North Dakota .....	State Legislature	Plan developed by state legislature, subject to gubernatorial veto.	L G	11	1 (+0)
Ohio.....	State Legislature	Plan developed by state legislature, subject to gubernatorial veto.	L G	13 5	16 (-2)
Oklahoma .....	State Legislature	Plan developed by state legislature, subject to gubernatorial veto.	L G	4 11	5 (+0)



## Congressional Redistricting Decisions, 2011 (cont.)

State	Jurisdiction	Process	Political makeup of those with jurisdiction	Who is now in the Congressional delegation	Anticipated number of Congressional districts (net gain from 2000) Census <sup>1</sup>
Oregon .....	State Legislature	Plan developed by state legislature, subject to gubernatorial veto.	H S G	11 4	5 (+0)
Pennsylvania .....	State Legislature	Plan developed by state legislature, subject to gubernatorial veto.	L G	12 7	18 (-1)
Rhode Island .....	State Legislature	Plan developed by state legislature, subject to gubernatorial veto.	L G	2	2 (+0)
South Carolina .....	State Legislature	Plan developed by state legislature, subject to gubernatorial veto.	L G	5 11	7 (+1)
South Dakota .....	State Legislature	Plan developed by state legislature, subject to gubernatorial veto.	L G	1	1 (+0)
Tennessee .....	State Legislature	Plan developed by state legislature, subject to gubernatorial veto.	L G	7 2	9 (+0)
Texas .....	State Legislature	Plan developed by state legislature, subject to gubernatorial veto.	L G	23 9	36 (+4)
Utah .....	State Legislature	Plan developed by state legislature, subject to gubernatorial veto.	L G	2 11	4 (+1)
Vermont .....	State Legislature	Plan developed by state legislature, subject to gubernatorial veto.	L G	11	1 (+0)
Virginia .....	State Legislature	Plan developed by state legislature, subject to gubernatorial veto.	H S G	8 3	11 (+0)
Washington .....	Washington State Redistricting Commission	Five-member bipartisan commission creates plan, at least three must approve plan; can be amended by state legislature with two-thirds vote.	BC H S	5 4	10 (+1)
West Virginia .....	State Legislature	Plan developed by state legislature, subject to gubernatorial veto.	L G	2 11	3 (+0)
Wisconsin .....	State Legislature	Plan developed by state legislature, subject to gubernatorial veto.	L G	5 3	8 (+0)
Wyoming .....	State Legislature	Plan developed by state legislature, subject to gubernatorial veto.	L G	11	1 (+0)

<sup>1</sup>From Election Data Services survey

**Key:**

- H—House
- S—Senate
- L—Legislature
- G—Governor
- BC—Bipartisan Committee
- Democrat
- Republican
- Independent
- Election not decided
- Chamber split
- TBD by legislature or courts
- Nonpartisan