Ensuring students are academically prepared for postsecondary education was the spark leading the National Governors Association and Council of Chief State School Officers in 2005 to push for a consistent, clear understanding of what students are expected to learn—known as the common core state standards. Because states historically have set their own academic standards, the nation has been faced with a patchwork of academic expectations. The knowledge and skills in reading, writing and math that a student was expected to have at each grade level in one state could be significantly different from those in another. This inconsistency of standards became a serious problem whenever a family moved from one state to another. Students could easily be forced to repeat material they had already learned or, even worse, face a learning gap in which they had not yet learned material that had already been covered in the state to which their families moved.

Upon the release of the common core state standards in June 2010, 46 states, the District of Columbia and the U.S. Virgin Islands adopted the standards in almost rapid fire succession. It is critical to note that a state formally adopting common core state standards, doesn’t mark an end as much as a beginning. The focus in states that have adopted common core state standards now shifts to successful implementation, and the challenges they are likely to encounter along the way.
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designers of the standards, led by the Council of Chief State School Officers and the National Governors Association in consultation with teachers, parents, experts and administrators, intended them to be aligned with college and work expectations. Those standards:

- Include rigorous content and application of knowledge through higher-order skills;
- Build upon strengths and lessons of current state standards;
- Are informed by top-performing countries so all students are prepared to succeed in the global economy and society; and
- Are evidence- and research-based.1

Although most states were quick to adopt the common core state standards, experts point out that adoption is merely a first step. Successful implementation of the standards is the key to achieving the goal of college- and career-readiness. A report issued by the Center for Education Policy concludes that in many states, it will take until 2013 or later to fully implement the more complex changes associated with the new academic standards.4 Legislators and elected and appointed state education leaders must make many critical decisions soon to ensure successful implementation of the standards in a timely fashion.

**Assessment**

Assessing student progress is costly but mandatory under the provisions of the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001. Even in states that have received federal waivers from accountability provisions of the law, it remains vitally important for local, state and federal education leaders to know if students are learning the skills required to be college- and career-ready. Current state assessments already are considered obsolete by many education experts; therefore new assessments must be put in place aligned to the new common core state standards.

The SMARTER Balanced Assessment Consortium and the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers received federal Race to the Top funding to work with states to create a new generation of student assessments. States adopting common core state standards have joined one or both of these consortia. Both are developing traditional summative assessments, which are given to students at the end of the school year to test their achievement. The consortia also include formative assessments, which teachers can give throughout the year to determine whether students are progressing as they should.

Using one of these common assessments can result in a potential savings for states, because the cost of designing and scoring student tests will be spread over multiple states rather than each state being required to create, administer and score its own, unique assessment. The test results also will provide a reliable measure to compare student achievement among multiple states giving the same assessment. The current patchwork of state assessments makes this data comparison impossible.

**Accountability**

One of the primary purposes of end-of-year student assessments is to provide a basis for accountability for schools and local school districts. These assessments must provide a transparent means to tell the public, local education leaders, and state and federal policymakers to what extent students are learning the knowledge and skills they are supposed to learn. Data from the testing provide vital information about where improvement is needed.

The Council of Chief State School Officers, which represents state school chiefs, suggests policymakers should adopt accountability systems that include high school graduation requirements, accreditation criteria for individual schools and districts, policies related to grade promotion (e.g. expectations for students to advance from one grade to the next), and state evaluation of school and district performance.3

**Teachers and the Common Core Standards**

In order for common core state standards or other similar college- and career-ready academic standards to be successful, policymakers must consider how to ensure teachers and education leaders are adequately trained and whether they possess knowledge about what is included in the more rigorous standards, and how they can ensure their students are able to meet the new expectations. This will require an investment in innovative and comprehensive professional development programs for teachers and administrators.

In many cases, changes in teaching and learning may be required. New evaluation systems for educators will, in some cases, need to be developed along with curriculum materials and other resources that are linked to common core state standards. In many cases, this means K–12 and postsecondary education policymakers will have to collaborate to ensure the new standards provide a seamless transition so students have the knowledge and skills to be successful in postsecondary education without having to take remedial courses. Some of the higher education policy changes that may be necessary include aligning the academic content of teacher education programs with the common core state standards. Teacher pre-service programs at postsecondary colleges of education should become incubators where future teachers are introduced to these more rigorous standards and prepared to teach them upon graduation. State policymakers also should consider aligning college admission requirements and the first-year undergraduate core curriculum with the new standards.

**Kentucky Leads the Way in College-Ready Standards**

In February 2010, Kentucky’s Board of Education took the monumental step of adopting the common core state standards. What made the decision monumental was that the standards hadn’t even been finalized or released to the public at the time Kentucky provisionally adopted them. It would be another four months before the standards were unveiled. Yet Kentucky
education leaders were confident the standards would set the benchmark for college- and career-readiness standards.

One year earlier, the Kentucky legislature enacted Senate Bill 1, designed to raise the quality of elementary and postsecondary education in the state. The bill called for the development and implementation of revisions to Kentucky’s academic content standards. This paved the way for the adoption one year later of common core state standards by the state’s education leadership.

Kentucky is widely viewed as leading the nation in the standards’ implementation. The implementation of the common core state standards has given Kentucky an opportunity to prepare students with content that is more focused and coherent and demands a deeper level of learning. Establishing specific expectations for preschool through grade 12 and also aligning standards with postsecondary institutions’ expectations will result in a seamless approach to learning from the time a child enters school until he or she graduates from college.

In February 2011, the Kentucky Department of Education developed Kentucky’s College and Career Readiness Delivery Plan, a collaboration with higher education officials which specifies the strategies for increasing the number of students that are college- and career-ready. One of the Plan’s goals is to increase the percentage of students who graduate college- and career-ready from Kentucky high schools from 34 percent at the Plan’s inception to 67 percent by 2015. The second goal is to increase the percentage of high school freshmen who graduate from 76 percent in 2010 to 90 percent in 2015.

The Kentucky Department of Education and the state’s Council on Postsecondary Education have placed a strong emphasis on increasing pathways for students through acceleration and intervention supports. This includes expanding advanced placement and dual credit opportunities with increased rigor and STEM—science, technology, engineering and math—coursework aligned to college- and career-ready expectations.

Within one month of adoption of common core state standards, the Kentucky Department of Education provided local school districts with a contrast in Kentucky’s former standards and the newly adopted ones. State education leadership also worked closely with district and school leaders to ensure the provisions of common core state standards were understood and being implemented properly on the local level.

Beginning with the 2011-12 school year, teachers and administrators began to design new learning experiences for students based on the more rigorous common core state standards. According to department of education staff, year two of common core state standards implementation is designed to integrate the components of teacher and leader professional growth and effectiveness system, along with strategies for implementing the standards and the valid use of data.
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