

TABLE 7.5A

General Fund Revenue Collections Compared to Projections, Fiscal 2018 and Fiscal 2019

State	Fiscal 2018			Fiscal 2019		
	On target	Lower	Higher	On target	Lower	Higher
Total	8	2	40	14	5	19
Alabama	★
Alaska	★	★
Arizona	★	★
Arkansas	★	★
California	★
Colorado	★	★
Connecticut (a)	...	★	★
Delaware	★	★
Florida	★	★
Georgia	★	★
Hawaii	★	★
Idaho	★	...	★	...
Illinois	★	★
Indiana	★	★
Iowa	★	★
Kansas	★	★
Kentucky	★	★
Louisiana	★
Maine	★	...	★	...
Maryland	★
Massachusetts	★
Michigan	★
Minnesota	★	★
Mississippi	★	★
Missouri	★	...	★	...
Montana	...	★	...	★
Nebraska	★	★
Nevada	★
New Hampshire	★	★
New Jersey	★	★
New Mexico	★	★
New York	★	...	★	...
North Carolina	★	...	★	...
North Dakota	★	★
Ohio (b)	★	★
Oklahoma	★	★
Oregon	★	★
Pennsylvania	★	★
Rhode Island	★	★
South Carolina	★
South Dakota	★	★
Tennessee	★
Texas	★
Utah	★	★
Vermont	★	★
Virginia	★
Washington	★	★
West Virginia	★	★
Wisconsin	★	★
Wyoming	★

Source: National Association of State Budget Officers, Fall 2018.

Note: Fiscal 2018 reflects whether general fund revenues from all sources came in higher, lower, or on target with original projections used when the fiscal 2018 budget was adopted. Fiscal 2019 reflects whether Fiscal 2019 collections thus far have been coming in higher, lower, or on target with projections. Not all states were able to report on fiscal 2019 collections.

Key:

...—No

(a) FY18 preliminary actual General Fund revenues for Connecticut came in lower than budgeted largely due to a transfer of \$1,471.3m to the Budget Reserve Fund as required under the state's new volatility cap, and a timing delay in receipt of \$400m of federal funds from FY18 to FY19.

(b) Revenue collections compared to projections include state-source general revenue. If we included federal general fund revenue, fiscal 2019 would currently be lower than projected.