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Rural highways provide many benefits to the nation's transportation system. But rural areas face numerous transportation challenges including a looming highway capacity crisis. Their challenges are similar to those experienced by urban areas but different enough that they need to be carefully considered as officials in Washington debate a new long-term authorization of federal transportation programs. This brief examines some issues those officials should take into account regarding rural road capacity, congestion, road safety, connectivity and mobility and public transit. It also examines how policies addressing livability and transportation funding may impact rural communities.

In 2008 and 2009, the CSG Transportation Policy Task Force and CSG leaders approved a series of policy resolutions laying out the organization’s goals and aspirations for the next federal surface transportation authorization bill. The previous legislation, known as SAFETEA-LU, officially expired in September 2009. Based on those resolutions, CSG supports an authorization bill that:

- Is multi-modal, multi-year and adequately funded to meet the nation’s continuing infrastructure needs;
- Provides short-term funding stability and long-term vision;
- Provides maximum flexibility to states; and
- Considers the needs of both urban and rural areas.

This brief examines the transportation needs of rural America, how they may be different from those of urban America and what kinds of federal transportation policy considerations may be necessary to meet those needs.

Executive Summary

- Rural highways provide many benefits to the nation’s transportation system, including serving as a bridge to other states, supporting the agriculture and energy industries, connecting economically challenged citizens in remote locations to employers, enabling the movement of people and freight, and providing access to America’s tourist attractions.
- Rural areas face a looming highway capacity crisis in the years ahead unless significant investments are made. But rural areas need substantially different kinds of investments, tools to finance rural transportation projects and policy strategies than urban areas need.
- For many rural states, adequately funding roads and bridges is still the primary issue, much more than public transit, high speed rail and other transportation solutions that may make more sense in densely populated areas.
• Rural areas face several transportation challenges, including inadequate roads, a lack of access to
the interstate system and even traffic congestion. Most interstates were planned 60 years ago and
little new capacity has been added since then to reach rural communities. Many rural two-lane roads
cannot safely carry the heavy trucks and commercial vehicles used to transport freight.
• According to the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, commonly
known as AASHTO, the nation’s roads need new capacity to improve connectivity and mobility for
rural America; improve access for travel, recreation and tourism; enhance and expand rural transit
opportunities; provide connections for new and emerging population and commerce centers; and
ensure reliable access to defense installations. There are several areas where the transportation
needs and solutions may be different for rural America and it’s important to contemplate those
differences as changes to federal transportation policy are considered:
  ◦ **Road Capacity Needs:** A 2010 AASHTO report said the nation needs to add 30,000 lane-miles
to the interstate system to meet rural needs.
  ◦ **Congestion:** Some rural transportation advocates fear that federal programs and funding
targeted toward reducing traffic congestion may exclude rural communities by focusing on
transit and high-speed rail. Congestion in rural areas is different from that in urban areas, but it
is no less significant. It is often related to crashes, stalled vehicles, tourism or special events.
Rural congestion can significantly impact freight movement, manufacturing processes,
competitiveness and productivity. A federal authorization bill should address congestion in all
parts of the country and on all modes of transportation.
  ◦ **Livability:** A federal focus on creating livable communities also has some rural advocates
concerned it will mean more money for big city transit projects and less for rural roads. But
others believe that suggests an outdated mindset that public transit is too costly and inefficient
for rural areas, so roads should be the sole transportation focus for those communities. Rural
livability may mean different things in different parts of the country, but rural communities
provide the blueprint for what many say they want in their own communities, including walkable
and accessible town centers.
  ◦ **Road Safety:** Road safety also must be a key focus of efforts to improve rural roads. Fifty-six
percent of highway deaths occur on rural roads. Efforts to create better roads can range from
low-cost ones like road signs to moderate-cost ones like median barriers to higher-cost
improvements such as reducing the angle of dangerous curves. But because many rural roads
are not eligible for federal highway funding, efforts to improve safety can fall to local
governments that aren’t always equipped with either the necessary funding or the knowledge of
road safety solutions. State governments will look to the next authorization for help in developing
safety metrics that will allow them to pinpoint the areas where safety improvements are most
needed. States and localities also can seek federal help in designing rural roads smarter with
better engineering, appropriate speed limits, traffic calming measures such as roundabouts and
intelligent transportation system technologies.
  ◦ **Connectivity and Mobility:** Rural states provide a vital link in keeping the agriculture, energy
and freight industries moving around the country. But a lack of roads and rail, particularly in rural
Western states, mean they don’t always move as quickly or efficiently as they could. According to
AASHTO, additional arterial roads are needed to make easier and faster connections. Rural road
improvements are needed to relieve freight bottlenecks. Also needed is more investment in
freight projects to facilitate truck to rail transfers at grain elevators and other locations. Ports in
major cities are not the only important links in the nation’s supply chain.
  ◦ **Public Transit:** According to AASHTO, federal funding for rural transit should more than double
over the next six years. During that time, almost every transit vehicle (55,000 vehicles) in rural
America will need to be replaced. Public transportation in rural communities may look a little
different than it does in big cities, but it is no less important. The aging of the population in rural
America has contributed to rising demand for transit. Rural transit often takes the form of on-
demand service via small bus or van for nonemergency trips to the hospital, pharmacy or clinic,
and trips to the grocery store. Due to the long distances and small numbers of people involved, rural transit can be an expensive proposition. Only 60 percent of rural counties nationwide have public transportation available and 28 percent of those have very limited service. Rural transportation advocates say they will seek flexibility from the federal government to use federal funding to pay for transit operating expenses. More coordination and cooperation is also needed among government agencies, community and faith-based groups, and private sector transportation providers to create a more seamless system of transit around the country.

- **Funding Transportation:** With the continuing erosion of the gas tax as the main revenue source to fund transportation improvements, some states and localities around the country are experimenting with alternative finance. But rural transportation advocates are concerned that some of the most commonly mentioned ones—tolling, congestion pricing, public-private partnerships and vehicle miles traveled charges—might not work for rural states and communities. Collection costs and the lack of traffic density in rural states make it unlikely that they would be able to raise significant funds from tolling unless tolls were set very high, in which case motorists would likely divert to other roads. For the same reasons, private companies would not be able to get a return on investment from funding toll road projects in these areas as part of public-private partnerships. Charging motorists a fee for each mile they travel—rather than each gallon of gas they buy—would also be problematic for rural states because of the long distances residents must often travel. From a new federal authorization bill, rural states will seek proportionate funding growth, increased funding, greater flexibility on the use of federal funds and more programs like Build America Bonds, which stand to benefit both urban and rural areas.